UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,)	Case No.	17-CR.	-357-	KAW
v. ente Mc	Plaintiff, Clinton Defendant)))	STIPULAT	ED ORDER EX DER THE SPEEL	CLUDING	FILED
For the reason stated by the parties on the record on 913/7, the Court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 913/17, and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factors:						
-	Failure to grant a continua See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7		ld be likely t	o result in a misc	carriage of justic	e.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).					
,	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	For the reasons stated on the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b) and waived with the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) and (d).					
	For the reasons stated on the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for delay resulting from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.					
DATED: 9/13/17 Kandis A. Westmore						
			Uni	ted States Magist	trate Judge	

Assistant United States Attorney